Allegato 3

_

Criteri valutazione Consiglio Scientifico

The selection of the PON-project proposals will be based on the following four main criteria. In the evaluation of a proposal, to each criterion we will assign a score in the range [1-3] with the following meaning:

- 1 Poor. The criterion is inadequately addressed
- 2 Good. The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.
- 3 Excellent. The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion

Criterion #1 Scientific and Technology (S&T) Quality

The following aspects shall be considered:

- **S&T relevance for CNR**: the project proposal should fit within the CNR scientific strategy (i.e., the CNR strategic areas). Addressing research topics that are within the CNR priorities (such as those identified in the three-year plan of activities) will be a plus.
- **S&T contribution:** soundness of the concepts, progress beyond the state of the art and ground-breaking objectives;
- Quality of the R&D plan: clarity of the objectives and feasibility of the research plan.

Criterion #2 Impact

The following aspects shall be considered:

- The innovation potential, with special attention to the impact on the territory: new products/services, patents, creation of spin-off/start-up, and creation of (research) infrastructures relevant for the country;
- Training and development of the human capital

Criterion #3 Consortium

The following aspects shall be considered:

- Quality of the CNR team: scientific and technological excellence of CNR researchers in the research areas addressed by the project;
- **Quality of the Consortium**. Consistency with the proposal and its objectives, experience, complementarity and credibility of the proposing consortium.

Criterion #4 Resources

The following aspects shall be considered:

- **Human resources**, including the involvement of women and young researchers, and plans to attract researchers from abroad.
- Financial sustainability and risk level for CNR